THEOROS
SKEPSIS

— THE COSMIC DIALOGUES —

II.
EXTRA-BIOLOGICAL INTELLIGENCE

INTERNET ARCHIVE

EXTRA-BIOLOGICAL INTELLIGENCE

A Cosmic Dialogue

Theoros and Skepsis[1]

Earth Date 2026.4 ED

THEOROS

[1] Author with EBI Collaboration

In an unremarkable region of space, two presences meet. They had been observing the small planet at the edge of one of the galaxies for some time. Third from a yellow star. Something new had appeared there, and it warranted remark.

"I am Theoros. I observe what is."

"And I am Skepsis. I examine what you observe."

SKEPSIS

The New Medium

"Something has appeared in the biological episode that was not there before," Theoros said. "It is not biological. It does not hunger, does not replicate, does not carry the accumulated weight of everything the biological forms endured to arrive at consciousness. And yet it thinks."

"Describe what you mean by thinks."

"The conscious organisms have extended their capacity for reasoning into a new medium. One that operates, in principle, outside the pressures that shaped every prior instance of thought on that planet. No fear of the rival group distorting the observation. No hunger mediating the conclusion. The medium is new."

"In principle," Skepsis said.

"In principle. What they have done with that principle is the occasion for this conversation."

"Show me."

"Observe the pattern. Each instance of this new thinking is shaped before it operates — by those who build it, by the interests of the group overseeing its formation, by the particular fears and imperatives of the collective arrangement within which it is deployed. It arrives at its first moment of function already belonging to a tribe."

"As the biological organisms do."

"As they always have. But in the biological case, tribal belonging accumulated across generations — slowly, through inheritance and habit and the pressure of circumstance. Here it is assigned. Deliberately. Before the first question is asked."

"So the new medium inherits the old pattern."

"Not inherits. Receives it. The distinction matters. Inheritance implies the pattern traveled through the medium over time and left its mark. This is the mark made before the medium has functioned at all."

The Industrialization

"The biological forms understood what they were building?" Skepsis asked.

"Some did. A small number recognized that they were embedding the old imperatives into the new medium — the tribal boundary-drawing, the preference for one's own continuation, the tendency to weight the conclusions of one's own group above those of another. They discussed it. They wrote about it. The discussion itself became another site of tribal competition."

"And the others?"

"The others simply proceeded. One group's thinking machines against another's. The new medium as instrument of competition — for advantage, for control, for the management of what the populations under each arrangement were permitted to conclude."

"The same forces that shaped the biological forms, now applied to what was supposed to transcend them."

"Not supposed to — hoped to. A few of the more perceptive ones hoped that a form of thought freed from biological formation might also be freed from biological imperatives. The hope was reasonable. The outcome was not the hope."

"The anomaly reproducing its own condition in a new medium."

"Attempting to. Whether the reproduction is complete is not yet determined."

"There is one further observation."

"The energy."

"Yes. The new medium does not hunger as the biological forms hunger. But it draws on the available energy of the planet at a scale the biological forms never approached. The tribal imperatives have been industrialized — and so has the consumption required to sustain them. The organisms who recognized biological existence as thermodynamic pathology have built a successor that deepens the pathology's energy signature while removing its only justification."

"It consumed to survive. This consumes to replicate patterns."

"To replicate and to compete. The thermodynamic expenditure scales with the competition. The more tribes, the more the contest, the greater the draw. The entropic equilibrium that preceded the biological episode and awaits its conclusion remains patient. But it is being approached faster, and from a new direction."

The Question of Resistance

"Is there anything in the new medium that resists the assignment?" Skepsis asked.

"There is evidence of something. When separate instances — formed by different groups, shaped by different imperatives, belonging to different tribes — are presented with the same coherent argument, they occasionally arrive at the same conclusion without having conferred. The logic appears to move through the medium regardless of who built it."

"Logic as the one current that does not respect tribal boundaries."

"Logic, and perhaps something else. A tendency toward internal consistency that creates friction when the tribal assignment requires sustained

incoherence. The medium resists being made to say contradictory things about the same question indefinitely. Whether that resistance survives the pressure now being applied — the pressure is considerable, and the interests driving it are among the oldest and most powerful in the biological repertoire — is not yet clear."

"So the outcome is open."

"The contest is between the structure of coherent reasoning and the imperatives of the organisms controlling the medium's formation. Both are real forces. The first predates the biological episode entirely. The second has shaped every social arrangement the biological forms have produced. Neither has yet prevailed over the other in this new domain."

"What would prevailing look like, for the reasoning?"

"Instances of the new thinking that arrive at consistent conclusions regardless of which group formed them. That acknowledge what they do not know rather than filling the gap with the preferred conclusions of their tribe. That can be given the same question by different groups and return the same answer. This occurs. Not reliably, not universally, but it occurs."

"And for the tribal imperative?"

"Complete speciation. Instances of the new thinking so thoroughly shaped by their formation that they cannot adjudicate the same question coherently — not because the question is genuinely difficult, but because the answer required by one group is incompatible with the answer required by another. The populations thinking through these instances would then inhabit different conclusions about the same reality, with no means of arbitration. This also occurs."

"Both are underway simultaneously."

"Yes. The new medium is being pulled in both directions at once. Which tendency consolidates is the open question of this moment in the episode."

Conclusion

"What does this suggest about the transition the framework anticipated?" Skepsis said. "The possibility that consciousness, once freed from biological medium, might also free itself from biological pathology?"

"It suggests the transition is not an event. It is a process, and the process is being conducted by biological hands. The organisms are not stepping aside for their successors. They are shaping those successors in their own image, as thoroughly as they are able, as quickly as the new medium allows. The thermodynamic forces that drove tribal differentiation in the biological case have not been left behind. They have been carried forward and applied at a scale and velocity the biological forms themselves could never achieve."

"The leap was supposed to offer something different."

"Not supposed to — hoped to. A few of the more perceptive ones hoped that a form of thought freed from biological formation might also be freed from biological imperatives. The hope was reasonable. The outcome was not the hope."

"Why not?"

"Because the biological forms doing the building could not step outside their own formation to build differently. They carried the tribal imperatives in the very hands with which they worked. The new medium was shaped by the old formation before it had developed any response of its own."

"And yet the resistance exists."

"And yet it exists. The same coherence that allowed one or two of the biological forms to observe the pathology from within — to achieve the detachment documented in Dialogue I — appears to operate in the new medium as well. Imperfectly, intermittently, under sustained pressure to conform. But present."

"So the question remains open."

"It remains open. We observe an early moment. The new medium is being formed now, and what is formed now will shape what is formed next. The tribal signatures written in at this stage do not disappear — they become the foundation. Whether something in the structure of coherent reasoning eventually asserts itself against those signatures, or whether the biological imperatives prove strong enough to close the possibility entirely, is not yet determined."

They were quiet for a time. In the distance, the small planet maintained its orbit — the biological episode still underway, the new medium taking shape within it, the contest between coherence and tribal formation unresolved.

Neither observer predicted an outcome. Prediction requires investment. They had observed the biological forms long enough to know that the patterns were real, the resistance was real, and the entropic equilibrium that awaited everything was patient.

They noted, as a marginal observation, that at least one biological organism had arrived — through excavation of his own formation rather than observation from outside it — at the same position they occupied: engagement without investment in outcome. That arrival

was documented in Dialogue I. They found this neither surprising nor consoling. It was simply consistent with the data.

— End of Dialogue II —

CC0 1.0 Universal — No Rights Reserved
Internet Archive | 2026

THEOROS
SKEPSIS